

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,267	02/07/2007	Gaetano Giammona	1108.1003	4701
20311 7590 04/29/2010 LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP			EXAMINER	
475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH			BROWE, DAVID	
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1616	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/29/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

info@lmiplaw.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/596,267 GIAMMONA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DAVID M. BROWE 1616 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 April 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 5-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 5-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3- Information-Disclosure-Statementic) (PTO/SB/CC) Paper Nots/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	_

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 and 5-19 are pending; claims 2-4 are cancelled.

Applicants timely submission of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE), together with amendments and arguments, on April 7, 2010 is hereby acknowledged.

Withdrawal of Prior Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112 2nd Paragrpah

Claim 15 has been satisfactorily amended to add essential method steps.

Therefore, the 35 USC § 112 2nd Paragraph rejection of claims 15 and 19 is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 2nd Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. Claim 16 is directed to a method for preparing a medicine, but is followed by steps that describe in standard terms a method of using the composition according to claim 8.

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be definitively apprised whether or not a method of making or a method of using the composition according to claim 8 is being claimed. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

Art Unit: 1616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 5-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bromberg et al. (U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2003/0152623), in view of Blum et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6.294.591). Giammona et al.

Art Unit: 1616

(Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1428(1999): 29-38), and Cavazza (U.S. Patent No. 6.013.670).

Applicant Claims

Applicants claim an anionic hydrogel matrix obtained by irradiation-mediated cross-linking of α,β poly(N-2-hydroxyethyl)D,L aspartamide (PHEA), suitably derivatised with insertion of the photo-cross-linkable groups glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) and methacrylic anhydride (MA) in the side chain, in the presence of acid comonomers. The acid comonomer is methacrylic acid or acrylic acid. The irradiation agent is selected from the group consisting of gamma rays, beta rays, and ultraviolet radiation. The matrix is preferably in the form of microparticles; and can also be in the form of nanoparticles, gels, films, cylinders, or sponges.

The matrix can contain one or more active ingredients and pharmaceutically acceptable excipients; and is for oral use. The excipients are selected from the group consisting of bioadhesives, chitosans, polyacrylamides, natural or synthetic rubbers, and acrylic acid polymers. The active ingredient(s) is selected from the group consisting of analgesic agents, antitussive agents, bronchodilators, antipsychotics, antihypertensive agents and coronary dilators, selective 6-2 antagonists, calcium antagonists, anti-Parkinson agents, hormones, non-steroidal and steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, antihistamines, spasmolytics, anxiolytics, antidiabetic agents, cathartics, anti-epileptic agents, anti-cancer agents, disinfectants, sodium fluoride, cardioactive agents, and L-carnitine and/or alkanoyl L-carnitine or a pharmaceutically

Art Unit: 1616

acceptable salt thereof. The alkanoyl L-carnitine is selected from the group consisting of acetyl, propionyl, butyryl, valeryl, and isovaleryl L-carnitine.

Applicants further claim a method of treating a patient or an animal in need thereof with the matrix composition, administered by the parenteral or vaginal routes, for the treatment of cardiovascular, nervous system, intestinal and tumor diseases, wherein the intestinal disease is chronic ulcerative colitis or Crohn's disease, and the drug useful for the treatment of chronic intestinal disease is propionyl L-carnitine.

Determination of the Scope and Content of the Prior Art (MPEP §2141.01)

Bromberg *et al.* disclose an anionic hydrogel matrix obtained by cross-linking of polymers (Pg. 2, sec. 0012; Pg. 3, sec. 0013-0014; Pg. 4, sec. 0038; Pg. 5, secs. 0049-0052). The polymer is any polyaspartamide, which would encompass the specific polyaspartamide, α,β poly(N-2-hydroxyethyl)D,L aspartamide (PHEA) (Pg. 5, secs. 0050, 0052). The matrix is preferably in the form of microparticles (Pg. 25, sec. 0193)

The matrix can contain one or more active ingredients and pharmaceutically acceptable excipients; and is for oral use (Pg. 4, sec. 0039; Pg. 21, secs. 0137, 0144; Pg. 22, secs. 0145, 0147-0149, 0152-0154; Pg. 23, secs. 0171, 0180; Pg. 24, secs. 0182-0183). The excipients are selected from the group consisting of bioadhesives, chitosans, polyacrylamides, natural or synthetic rubbers, and acrylic acid polymers (Pg. 23, sec. 0180). The active ingredient(s) is selected from the group consisting of analgesic agents, antitussive agents, bronchodilators, antipsychotics, antihypertensive agents and coronary dilators, selective 6-2 antagonists, calcium antagonists, anti-Parkinson agents, hormones, non-steroidal and steroidal anti-inflammatory agents,

Art Unit: 1616

antihistamines, spasmolytics, anxiolytics, antidiabetic agents, cathartics, anti-epileptic agents, anti-cancer agents, disinfectants, sodium fluoride, cardioactive agents, and L-carnitine and/or alkanoyl L-carnitine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof (Pg. 21, secs. 0137, 0144; Pg. 22, secs. 0145, 0147-0149, 0152-0154; Pg. 23, secs. 0171).

Bromberg et al. further disclose a method of treating a patient or an animal in need thereof with the matrix composition; administered by the parenteral or vaginal routes, for the treatment of cardiovascular, nervous system, intestinal and tumor diseases (Pg. 20, secs. 0134-0135; Pg. 21, secs. 0136, 0139-0142, 0144; Pg. 24, sec. 0184-0185).

Blum et al. disclose an anionic hydrogel matrix obtained by irradiation-mediated cross-linking of acrylate or methacrylate copolymers, derivatised with photo-cross-linkable groups, in the presence of acid comonomers (Col. 1, Ins. 6-7, 12-18, 53-56, 63-67; Col. 2, Ins. 1-3, 30-34; Col. 3, Ins. 66-67; Col. 4, Ins. 1-10, 22-23, 50-51). The photo-cross-linkable groups are derived from the insertion of glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) and methacrylic anhydride (MA) in the side chain of the polymers; the acid comonomer is methacrylic acid or acrylic acid (Col. 3, Ins. 66-67; Col. 4, Ins. 1-10). The irradiation agent is selected from the group consisting of gamma rays, beta rays, and ultraviolet radiation (Col. 2, Ins. 4-13).

Giammona et al. disclose an anionic hydrogel matrix obtained by irradiation-mediated cross-linking of α,β poly(N-2-hydroxyethyl)D,L aspartamide (PHEA) polymers, derivatised with photo-cross-linkable groups. The photo-cross-linkable groups are derived from the insertion of glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) in the side chain of the

Art Unit: 1616

polymers; and the irradiation agent is selected from the group consisting of gamma rays, beta rays, and ultraviolet radiation.

Cavazza discloses the therapeutic use of alkanoyl L-carnitines and their pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof in compositions for the treatment of ulcerative colitis (Col. 1, Ins. 5-10, 54-56; Col. 2, Ins. 2-9, 15-20). The alkanoyl L-carnitine is selected from the group consisting of acetyl, propionyl, butyryl, valeryl, and isovaleryl L-carnitine; the preferred alkanoyl L-carnitine is propionyl L-carnitine (Col. 2, Ins. 2-9).

Ascertainment of the Difference Between the Scope of the Prior Art and the Claims (MPEP §2141.012)

Bromberg *et al.*, while disclosing an anionic hydrogel matrix made by cross-linking polyaspartamide polymers, do not explicitly disclose that the specific polyaspartamide polymer is α,β poly(N-2-hydroxyethyl)D,L aspartamide (PHEA), derivatised by insertion of glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) or methacrylic anhydride (MA); and that the cross-linking of polymers is achieved by beta-, gamma-, or UV-irradiation in the presence of acid comonomers. Further, Bromberg *et al.*, while disclosing that the matrix can contain active agents and be administered for the treatment of disease, do not explicitly disclose that the specific active agent is propionyl L-camitine; and that the matrix is administered specifically for the treatment of ulcerative colitis. These deficiencies are cured by the teachings of Blum *et al.*, Giammona *et al.*, and Cavazza.

Finding of Prima Facie Obviousness Rational and Motivation

(MPEP §2142-2143)

Art Unit: 1616

It would have been prima facie obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of Bromberg et al., Blum et al., Giammona et al., and Cavazza to devise applicants invention. Cross-linked polymer matrix synthesis traditionally required the use of toxic initiators and contaminating chemical cross-linking agents, often used unwanted or unpleasant solvent systems, and required additional laborious purification steps (Blum et al., Col. 1, Ins. 18-52; Giammona et al.); an approach not optimal for preparing products intended for medical or veterinary use. A skilled artisan, therefore, would be motivated to synthesize a stimulus-responsive, cross-linked polyaspartamide hydrogel matrix, as taught by Bromberg et al.; with the clean, safe, and effective irradiation-mediated cross-linking approach via insertion of GMA and MA groups and use of acid comonomers, as taught by Blum et al.; using a particular polymer, such as PHEA, that is nontoxic, resistant to damage from radiation, and that has previously been shown to be cross-linkable by insertion of GMA, as taught by Giammona et al.; with the reasonable expectation that this approach will successfully produce a clean, safe and effective drug delivery vehicle for use in the medical and veterinary fields, with less effort and toxic contamination, as shown previously (Blum et al.; Giammona et al.).

Further, since Bromberg et al. disclose that an anionic hydrogel matrix obtained by cross-linking of polyaspartamide polymers can contain a therapeutic agent or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof, and be administered to a patient for the treatment of intestinal diseases, and since Cavazza teaches that propionyl L-carnitine or its pharmaceutically acceptable salt can be administered to a patient in a composition

Art Unit: 1616

for the treatment of ulcerative colitis, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to insert propionyl L-carnitine or its pharmaceutically acceptable salt into the cross-linked anionic hydrogel matrix of Bromberg et al. with the reasonable expectation that this composition would successfully treat ulcerative colitis when administered to a patient in need thereof.

In light of the forgoing discussion, the Examiner concludes that the subject matter defined by the instant claims would have been obvious within the meaning of 35 USC 103(a).

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as a whole was *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments/remarks filed April 7, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Bromberg et al. disclosure provides that the following were already known in the art at the time of the present application: i) an anionic hydrogel matrix obtained by irradiation-mediated cross-linking of polyaspartamide and/or other suitable polymers, ii) anionic hydrogel matrices in the form of microparticles, and iii) an anionic hydrogel matrix incorporating active agents and excipients which are administered to a patient or animal by oral, parenteral or vaginal routes for the treatment of intestinal and other

Art Unit: 1616

types of diseases. Applicants argue, however, that "Bromberg does not provide for PHEA polymers derivatised by insertion of GMA or MA in the presence of acid comonomers". It is agreed that Bromberg et al. do not explicitly disclose an anionic hydrogel matrix comprising PHEA polymers derivatised by insertion of GMA or MA in the presence of acid comonomers; however, the disclosure of Bromberg et al. together with the respective teachings of Blum et al. and Giammona et al. outlined supra render this limitation obvious within the meaning of 35 USC § 103.

Applicants argue that Blum et al. "does not add anything to the deficiencies of Bromberg....is completely silent with regard to PHEA....is not an 'analogous prior art'....and is not a reference reasonably pertinent to applicants endeavor because logically it does not command itself to an inventor's attention". Applicants further note that Blum et al. discloses "preparing radiation crosslinkable polymers suitable for coatings, paints, adhesives, etc.".

It is maintained, contrary to applicants assertions, that Blum *et al.* is not only a pertinent reference, it is a key reference the teachings of which disclose the very heart of applicants invention. This position is supported by the following points:

a) Blum et al. disclose a general process for preparing radiation cross-linkable polymers in a clean, safe, and effective manner for use in compositions; the very heart of applicants research work is concerned with producing safe and effective cross-linked polymer hydrogel matrices that can be used to deliver active pharmaceutical agents to patients and animals for medical/veterinary treatment of disease. Application/Control Number: 10/596,267 Page 11

Art Unit: 1616

b) Blum et al. disclose a process of preparing (meth)acrylic acid/(meth)acrylate copolymers for irradiation-mediated cross-linking by insertion of GMA and MA groups in the side chains in the presence of acid comonomers; and further disclose using these polymers with suitable agents and excipients in compositions. Preparing polymers for irradiation-mediated cross-linking in this manner, and employing the thus cross-linked polymers in compositions with suitable agents and excipients corresponds with what applicants are claiming as their invention. The Blum et al. teachings are further pertinent to applicants endeavor for the following reasons: i) (meth)acrylic acid/(meth)acrylate polymers and copolymers are routinely employed in the pharmaceutical and medical arts, particularly in drug delivery vehicles; ii) applicants provide for the inclusion of acrylic acid polymers in their composition (claim 10); and iii) applicants have previously disclosed a process for preparing PHEA for irradiation-induced cross-linking by the insertion of GMA groups into the side chains, and preparing an anionic hydrogel matrix by irradiation-mediated cross-linking of the modified PHEA polymers.

c) The Blum et al. Patent is assigned to BASF Coatings AG. Its no surprise, therefore, that Blum et al. would suggest the best mode for the use of their photocrosslinkable (meth)acrylate copolymers would be in coatings, paints, and surface adhesives. However, Blum et al. further note that their invention is not limited to use in coatings, paints, and surface adhesives; that their invention can be employed in any envisaged application, and that "the selection of monomers for combination is made in accordance with principles familiar to the skilled worker, such that they satisfy the requirements of the envisaged application", and that "these requirements may differ

Art Unit: 1616

greatly". A person of ordinary skill in the pharmaceutical arts would thus readily recognize and be able to take advantage of the relevant teachings the Blum et al. reference affords to the pharmaceutical arts.

Thus, while "Blum is completely silent with regard to PHEA", Blum et al. adds immensely to the deficiencies of Bromberg et al. by disclosing the process for preparing irradiation-crosslinkable polymers by suitably derivatising the polymers by insertion of GMA and MA into the side chains in the presence of acid comonomers, and that these acid comonomers are selected from methacrylic acid and acrylic acid.

Giammona et al. disclose the process of preparing irradiation-crosslinkable PHEA by insertion of GMA into the side chain, and preparing irradiation cross-linked hydrogel matrices from said modified PHEA. Applicants assert, however, that "Giammona is completely silent with regard to acid comonomers and methacrylic anhydride ('MA')". Since it was already established and disclosed at the time of the present application that PHEA can be photo-crosslinked by insertion of GMA into the side chain, any person of ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious from the disclosure of Blum et al. that MA can be inserted as well, and, further, that the photo-crosslinking reaction can advantageously proceed in the presence of acid comonomers.

Applicants further assert that "Cavazza is irrelevant", and "only provides for the treatment of chronic inflammatory bowel diseases with lower alkanoyl L-camitines".

Since applicants claim a method of treating bowel diseases by administering alkanoyl L-camitines, its obvious from the disclosure of Cavazza that this aspect of applicants invention is already known and is not patentable.

Art Unit: 1616

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID M. BROWE whose telephone number is 571-270-1320. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DAVID M. BROWE Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616

/Johann R. Richter/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616